



Sh Parveen Kumar, S/o Sh madan Lal,  
R/o Model Town, Tappa,  
Distt Barnala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Manager,  
Pracheen Saran Mandir Committee,  
Tappa Mandi, Distt Barnala.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o DC,  
Barnala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 5710 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Parveen Kumar as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 31.08.2021 has sought information on 05 points regarding the Income & expenditure details of Pracheen Saran Mandir Tapa Mandi from 01.04.2006 to 31.03.2021 – income received and expenditure made on the construction of shops in front of trust office as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Manager, Pracheen Saran Mandir Committee, Tappa Mandi, Distt Barnala. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 01.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Barnala. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent nor is represented. The Commission has received a reply from the Pracheen Saran Mandir Committee, Tappa Mandi on 20.06.2022 which has been taken on record. In the said reply, it has been mentioned that the respondent is not a public authority and it is a private committee which is not run/managed with the funds provided by the appropriate Government, hence does not fall under the definition of Public Authority under section 2(h) of the RTI Act.

Since the appellant has provided no evidence for the commission to consider evaluation that whether the respondent falls under the definition of public authority, no further interference from the commission is required. Hence, it is assumed that Pracheen Saran Mandi Committee is a private institution and is not covered under the definition of public authority under section 2(h) of the RTI Act 2005, hence rejected.

The case is **closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 22.06.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Harish Chander,  
Gali NO-1, New Model Town,  
Moti Bagh, Jagraon, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 5499 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Rakesh Kumar, Clerk for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 13.07.2021 has sought information regarding the action taken on an application dated 03.06.2021 and reminders for medical bill sent from the office of District Education and Training and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 02.09.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 24.05.2022 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Due to the non-working of VC in DAC Ludhiana, the hearing could not take place. The case was adjourned.

**Hearing dated 22.06.2022:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant. The respondent further informed that the appellant was telephonically contacted and the appellant has informed that he has received the information.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 22.06.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commission**



Sh Vinod Kumar, S/o Sh Narsi Ram,  
VPO Raipura, Tehsil Abohar,  
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o AFSC, Abohar,  
Backside Sethi Palace, Distt Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o DFSC,  
Fazilka.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 514 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Prem Singh, Advocate for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Ashwani Kumar, Inspector for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 25.10.2021 has sought information on 06 points regarding legal notice bearing no.345 dated 23.08.2021 by AFSC Abohar – a copy of the letter under which team was constituted to enquire the complaint – the name & designation of the enquiry officer – a copy of the investigation report – a copy of order No.4189 dated 28.09.2020 issued by the DFSC – a copy of the statement of complainants and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of AFSC Abohar. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 02.12.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the respondent, the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 03.02.2022.

As per the representative of the appellant, the appellant has not received the information.

The respondent provided a copy of the dispatched information to the representative of the appellant during the hearing.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 22.06.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,**  
**Sector 16, Chandigarh.**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - [psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in)**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Sh Vinod Kumar, S/o Sh Narsi Ram,  
VPO Raipura, Tehsil Abohar,  
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Director,  
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs,  
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Director,  
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs,  
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 515 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Prem Singh, Advocate for the Appellant**  
**Ms.Kiran Inspector O/o Food Supply Pb Chandigarh and Sh.Ashwani Kumar,**  
**Inspector Abohar for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 25.10.2021 has sought information on 03 points regarding complaint vide letter dated 22.03.2021 sent to Director, Food & Civil Supplies Pb Chandigarh – a copy of the enquiry report - a copy of the statement of both complainants and depot holder with all supporting documents and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 02.12.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the respondent, the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 01.02.2022.

As per the representative of the appellant, the appellant has not received the information.

The respondent has provided a copy of the dispatched information to the representative of the appellant during the hearing.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 22.06.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Nitin Kumar,  
C/o Police Public Dairy,  
15-A, Shastari Nagar, Model Town,  
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Deputy Director  
Health and Family Welfare,  
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 308 of 2022**

**PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Dr.Kuljit Singh, DHO Kapurthala for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 19.08.2021 has sought information on 04 points regarding comparative statements for purchase of medicines etc from 01.06.2020 to 19.08.2021 – list of the amount utilized for medicine purchase, repair in office – amount utilized for medicine purchase & repair in the office like NHRM fund, maintenance, stationery, office exp. Pol, RBSK, NCD, NPEDS, NPHCE, Director health, training, diet/school health programme and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Kapurthala. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply/information of the PIO provided vide letter dated 21.10.2021 & 12.11.2021, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 06.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Kapurthala. The respondent present pleaded that the complete information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 16.12.2021.

The appellant has received the information and it was concluded that there is no further information available on record that can be provided for this RTI application.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 22.06.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Satish Kumar, S/o Sh Vijay Kumar,  
R/o Village Kandhwala Hajar Khan,  
Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Deputy Director,  
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs,  
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Deputy Director,  
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs,  
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 481 of 2022**

**PRESENT: Sh.Satish Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Ms.Kiran- Inspector for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 30.09.2021 has sought information on 09 points regarding the number of ration cards in village Kandhwala Hazarkha –documents required for getting ration card – time limit for applying for a ration card – basis on which decision was taken on the complaint dated 04.01.2020 with the names of both parties – number of employees having a mobile phone facility and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Deputy Director, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer Affairs Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 25.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. As per the respondent, the information has already been provided to the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant has received the information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 22.06.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Rajinder Kumar,  
VPO Raisar,  
Tehsil& Distt Barnala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Panchayat Secretary,  
Gram Panchayat, Mahil Kalan,  
Distt Barnala.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o DDPO,  
Barnala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 93 of 2022**

**PRESENT: Sh.Rajinder Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Ms.Karamjit Kaur,Clerk for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 28.05.2021 has sought information on 04 points regarding shops constructed in the premises bus stand Mahil Kalan – the name of lessees – the lease income from shops - - total expenditure done for the construction of shops alongwith bills and muster rolls – the addresses of the lessees as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat, Mahil Kalan, Distt.Barnala. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 08.09.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Barnala. The respondent present pleaded that the appellant was asked to deposit requisite fee vide letter dated 26.04.2022 which the appellant did not deposit. However, the information has been provided to the appellant on 23.05.2022 and the appellant has acknowledged having received the same.

The appellant claims that the information is incomplete and he has already pointed out the discrepancies to the PIO on 25.05.2022 with a copy to the Commission through email.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to sort out the discrepancies as pointed out by the appellant and provide remaining information free of cost and as per the provisions of the RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 22.06.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Parveen Kumar, S/o Sh madan Lal,  
R/o Model Town, Tappa,  
Distt Barnala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Chief Administrator,  
Dera Baba Punjab Singh,  
Village Tajoke, Tehsil Tapa,  
Distt Barnala.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o DC,  
Barnala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 71 of 2022**

**PRESENT: Sh.Parveen Kumar as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 01.08.2021 has sought information on 05 points regarding income & expenditure details Dera Baba Punjab Singh from 01.04.2011 to 31.03.2021 – reasons for not constituting trust of Dera Baba Punjab Singh – number of staff and their education qualifications working in Adarsh School – Details/list of salary given to staff for last 3 months – detail of CCTV cameras installed in the school premises as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Chief Administrator, Dera Baba Punjab Singh, Village Tajoke, Tehsil Tapa, Distt Barnala. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 01.10.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Barnala. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that Dera Baba Punjab Singh is a private institution and is not covered under the definition of a public authority under section 2(h) of the RTI Act 2005, hence rejected.

The case is **closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 22.06.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**





Sh Rajinder Kumar,  
VPO Raisar,  
Tehsil& Distt Barnala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o BDPO, Mahil Kalan,  
Barnala.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o DDPO,  
Barnala.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 86 of 2022**

**PRESENT: Sh.Rajinder Kumar as the Appellant**  
**Ms.Karamjit Kaur, Clerk for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 29.06.2021 has sought information on 07 points regarding details of interlock tiles fixed on roads in village Raisar –the size of tiles – details of streets/places where the tiles are being fixed alongwith MB book – details of labour paid to labourers alongwith bills and muster rolls as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of BDPO Mahil Kalan, Distt.Barnala. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 08.09.2021, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Barnala. As per the respondent, the information has already been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied with the provided information.

Since the information has been supplied, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 22.06.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**